

First characterization of the trophic structure and biodiversity of esker lakes



Akib Hasan, GREMA, UQAT

Supervisors

- Miguel Montoro Girona, GREMA UQAT
- Guillaume Grosbois, GREMA UQAT
- Louis Imbeau, IRF UQAT

Collaborators

٠

- Jennifer Lento, UNB, Canada.
 - Anouschka Hof, WUR, Netherlands.



Thickness: up to two kilometers - A Canadian Museum of Nature

Imagine our world like this

97% of Canada



Esker formation

Complex geological formation formed by glaciers

Made of layers of sand and gravel.

Distributed over all northern countries

Adapted from Nadeau et al. (2011)



Adapted from Storrar, Stokes and Evans, (2013).



Lakes on esker

Esker lakes are connected with groundwater system

Not connected with the river or other wetlands

which creates a closed basin wetland

This relationship affect water temperature, quality and nutrient

Services from esker ecosystem



Image Credits: Twitter @Danjite, TripAdvisor, My Outdoors Life, Parks Canada, © Don Johnston - www.agefotostock.com



Threats to esker ecosystem



Over Extraction of sand, gravel



Forest Harvesting



Anthropogenic disturbances (Such as camping, species introduction)



Knowledge gap about biodiversity of esker

Food-web approach

Waterbird act as the top predator in esker lakes, But they are just the tip of the iceberg in esker lakes



Waterbird community

Macrophyte Physicochemical variables

Anthropogenic impact

cover

Macroinvertebrate community

Fish community

Art: Pikisuperstar



General

To evaluate the waterbird biodiversity associated to esker lakes and identify its environmental drivers using food-web approach.

1. To assess the aquatic resources for waterbirds in lakes such as the type of habitats, the quality and quantity of macrophytes, fish and macroinvertebrate communities.

Specific

2. To assess the species richness, evenness and diversity of waterbirds and record the occurrence of indicator species possibly linked to fishless lakes on eskers.



Common goldeneye



Bonaparte's gull

Conceptual framework



Conceptual framework



Conceptual framework



Hypothesis

The abundance and diversity of macroinvertebrate will be higher in esker lakes compared to the lakes on clay because of a higher availability of resources and reduced fish predation.

The richness, diversity and abundance of waterbirds will be higher in kettle lakes on esker compared to the lakes on clay because of more availability of their food resources in the kettle lakes



Method





Experimental design

80 Lakes



Study area





Waterbird survey



Fish survey

3 minnow traps/lake 24 hours

Identified 6,406 fish

- 1. Totallength
- 2. Body weight

UNIT ONOFF Z/T

30

50 60 70 80 90 100 110 12

Patrice

- 3. Species
- 4. Abundance

Macroinvertebrate

Collection from the Lake

Extracting each macroinvertebrate



600 hours in the binocular to identify 19,947 macro-invertebrates

D-frame net (350 μ m mesh, surface area = 0.0604 m2)

Diptera Larvae (Chironomidae and Ceratopogonidae)



Waterbird diversity



Art Credit: Émilie Desjardins

Fish diversity

Variables	Clay	Esker				
Mean Abundance	210.4	22.92	1.5 -			
Total Abundance	5833	573				
Total Richness	8	9				
Mean Shannon Biodiversity Index	0.76	0.10	1.0 - uou		Ť	Site Typ
			Sha			ESK
			0.5-			
	n 1					
	AIN		XXX DAA	Clay [ake	Esker	

Image: iStock, Gograph, Dreamstimes, nicepng

Macroinvertebrate diversity

Variables	Clay	Esker		
Mean Abundance	164.2	156.36		
Total Abundance	4104	3909		
Mean Richness	10.28	9.48		
Mean Shannon Biodiversity Index	1.29	1.23		



Image: NJ Watershed Monitoring Network,

Physicochemical variables



Effect of physiochemical variables on waterbird richness



Response Variable: Waterbird Richness	Intercept -1.86
Fish Richness	0.008 ± 0.080
Macroinvertebrate Richness	0.063 ± 0.041
Total Phosphorus	0.001 ± 0.022 P < .1
Total Nitrogen	-0.112 ± 0.778
Dissolved Organic Carbon	0.018 ± 0.007 P < .05
Lake Area	-0.182 ± 0.077 P < .05
Harvesting distance	-0.001 ± 0.001 P < .1
Dissolved Oxygen	0.003 ± 0.011
Macrophyte	-0.002 ± 0.004

Indicator waterbirds – esker lakes



Common goldeneye Garrot à œil d'or Bucephala clangula p = 0.049

Canada Goose Bernache du Canada Branta canadensis p = 0.031





Indicator waterbirds – clay lakes



Ring necked duck Fuligule à collier *Aythya collaris* p = 0.020



Hooded Merganser Harle couronne *Lophodytes cucullatus*

p = 0.021



Indicator fish- esker lakes



Yellow perch Perchaude *Perca flavescens* p = 0.105



Indicator fish- clay lakes



Northern redbelly dace Chrosomus eos p = 0.001

Northern finescale dace Chrosomus neogaeus P = 0.001

Fathead minnow Pimephales promelas p = 0.001



Indicator macroinvertebrate – esker lake



Indicator macroinvertebrate – clay lake



Image Credit: macroinvertebrate.org



Discussion – esker vs clay lakes

Esker lakes get less nutrients input from the watershed because of their isolation





Discussion – Waterbird

• Esker lakes had lower waterbird richness and diversity,

However, few species showed strong association.

Example: Common Goldeneye

• During breading season Common Goldeneye prefer fishless lakes (Eriksson 1979).



Discussion - Fish

- Diversity of fish in esker Lakes were significantly lower than clay lakes
- Half of the esker lakes were completely fishless
- Isolation of esker lakes and their lower nutrient content can explain this



Discussion – Macroinvertebrate

• The significance association of Stoneflies (Perlidae family) can be explained from higher dissolved oxygen

in esker lakes.

Dragonflies and damselflies (Odonata Order) act as the predator in esker lakes



Discussion – Effects on biodiversity

- Harvesting activity significantly alter the ecosystem around esker lakes
- Several other anthropogenic activity (mining, species introduction, pollution, camping) also alter esker habitat for biodiversity
- Lake area and perimeter strongly affect waterbird habitat selection



Conclusion

- The diversity of esker lakes is lower in all trophic level of the food web
- Few important communities showed strong association with esker lakes because they need this special ecosystem to survive
- Anthropogenic activity might alter this pristine esker ecosystem







Contributors Helping Students Supervisors Interns **Miguel Montoro Girona Hugo Morin-Brassard Tasnim Anjum Mou** • **Béatrice Dupuis** Anoj Subedi ٠ **Guillaume Grosbois** • **Patrice Blaney** Sanghyun Kim Louis Imbeau • Élise Berthiaume Hengyi Bai Frédérique Bergeron **Collaborators** Victor Beaudet **Marie-Claude Mayotte** • Jennifer Lento • **Mathilde Joncas** ٠ Anouschka Hof • **Charles Ferland** • **Emmy Drouin**



Reference

- Nadeau S, Cloutier V, Daigneault R, Veillette J (2011) Volume estimate of granular deposits and groundwater reserves of the Abitibi-Témiscamingue eskers, Québec
- Storrar RD, Stokes CR, Evans DJA (2013) A map of large Canadian eskers from Landsat satellite imagery. J Maps 9:456–473. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2013.815591</u>
- Sauriol J (2016) Provenance of buried esker groundwater: the case of Vars-Winchester esker aquifer, Eastern Ontario, Canada. Hydrogeol J. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-015-1327-1
- Traynor S (2001) ESKER HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS and TRADITIONAL USE STUDY. Canada
- Nadeau S, Rosa E, Cloutier V, et al (2015) A GIS-based approach for supporting groundwater protection in eskers: Application to sand and gravel extraction activities in Abitibi-Témiscamingue, Quebec, Canada. J Hydrol Reg Stud 4:535–549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.05.015</u>
- Epp HT (1985) Ecological Edges and Archaeological Site Location in Saskatchewan, Canada. North Am Archaeol. https://doi.org/10.2190/xr8c-t1t8-uhnh-87mn